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## GLOSSARY OF GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE TERMINOLOGY

1 BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICE (BMP)

2 COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW (CSO)

3 COMBINED SEWER SYSTEM (CSS)

4 CONNECTED IMPERVIOUS SURFACE

5 DISCONNECTED IMPERVIOUS SURFACE

Activities or structural improvements that help reduce the quantity and improve the quality of stormwater runoff

During wet weather events, stormwater flows can exceed the capacity of the combined sewer system and/or the sewage treatment plant causing an overflow of a slurry of untreated wastewater and stormwater to local waterways.

A wastewater collection system designed to carry sanitary sewage (consisting of domestic, commercial, and industrial wastewater) and stormwater (surface drainage from rainfall or snowmelt) in a single pipe to a treatment facility

When stormwater runoff flows directly from an impervious surface to a local waterway or a sewer system, the impervious surface is considered "connected" or "directly connected."

When stormwater runoff flows from an impervious surface onto a pervious surface or into a green infrastructure practice prior to entering a local waterway or a sewer system, the impervious surface is considered "disconnected."

6 GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PRACTICE

7 IMPERVIOUS COVER ASSESSMENT (ICA)

8 IMPERVIOUS COVER REDUCTION ACTION PLAN (RAP)

A stormwater management practice that captures, filters, absorbs, and/or reuses stormwater to help restore the natural water cycle by reducing stormwater runoff, promoting infiltration, and/or enhancing evapotranspiration

Readily available land use/land cover data from the New Jersey geographic information system (GIS) database are used to determine the percentage of impervious cover in municipalities by subwatershed. The ICA includes calculations of stormwater runoff volumes associated with impervious surfaces.

A plan that identifies opportunities to retrofit specific sites with green infrastructure practices to reduce the impacts of stormwater runoff from impervious surfaces

Any surface that has been covered with a layer of material so that it is highly resistant to infiltration by water. (e.g., paved roadways, paved parking areas, and building roofs)

A systemwide evaluation of the sewage infrastructure and the hydraulic relationship between sewers, precipitation, treatment capacity, and overflows; it identifies measures needed to eliminate or reduce the occurrence of CSOs

A land planning and engineering design approach that emphasizes conservation and use of on-site natural features to manage stormwater runoff and protect water quality

12 MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEM (MS4)

13 NONPOINT SOURCE (NPS) POLLUTION

## 14 PERVIOUS SURFACE

15 STORMWATER RUNOFF

A conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, man-made channels, or storm drains) that transports stormwater runoff to local waterways or stormwater facilities such as a detention basin
"Nonpoint source pollution" is also called "people pollution." It is the pollution that comes from our everyday lives. It is the fertilizers that wash off farms and lawns. It is the pet waste that washes into streams. It is the sediment (or soil) that erodes from the land into local waterways. It is the oil and grease that comes from parking lots. Finally, it is the pollutants such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and heavy metals that settle out of the atmosphere onto roads and rooftops. When it rains, stormwater runoff carries nonpoint source pollution and may ultimately wash it into waterways.

Any surface that allows water to pass through it (e.g., lawn area)

The water from rain or melting snows that can become "runoff" flowing over the ground surface and returning to lakes and streams

## INTRODUCTION

In 2016, the William Penn Foundation began an initiative to assist communities within the William Penn Kirkwood-Cohansey and Highlands Cluster Areas in their efforts to address stormwater runoff and water quality. Located in environmentally sensitive areas, critical to the health of the New Jersey Pinelands and Highlands, these communities have the opportunity to implement many forms of green infrastructure. To help with this effort, the William Penn Foundation has entered into a partnership with the Rutgers Cooperative Extension (RCE) Water Resources Program.

By using cost-effective green infrastructure practices, Evesham can begin to reduce the negative impacts of stormwater runoff and decrease the pressure on local infrastructure and waterways. This feasibility study is intended to be used as a guide for the community of Evesham to begin implementing green infrastructure practices while demonstrating to residents and local leaders the benefits of and opportunities for better managing stormwater runoff.

For Evesham, potential green infrastructure projects have been identified. Each project has been classified as a mitigation opportunity for recharge potential, total suspended solids removal, and stormwater peak reduction. For each proposed green infrastructure practice, detailed green infrastructure information sheets provide an estimate of gallons of stormwater captured and treated per year. Additionally, concept designs for three of the potential green infrastructure projects have been developed. These concept designs provide an aerial photograph of the site and details of the proposed green infrastructure practices. Lastly, Appendix A of this document offers information about community engagement opportunities related to green infrastructure, while Appendix B provides maintenance guidelines for green infrastructure practices.


Rutgers University professor, Tobiah
Horton, reviews a rain garden design with a homeowner

## WHAT IS GREEN <br> INFRASTRUCTURE?

Green infrastructure is an approach to stormwater management that is cost-effective, sustainable, and environmentally friendly. Green infrastructure projects capture, filter, absorb, and reuse stormwater to maintain or mimic natural systems and to treat runoff as a resource. As a general principle, green infrastructure practices use soil and vegetation to recycle stormwater runoff through infiltration and evapotranspiration. When used as components of a stormwater management system, green infrastructure practices such as bioretention, green roofs, porous pavement, rain gardens, and vegetated swales can produce a variety of environmental benefits. In addition to effectively retaining and infiltrating rainfall, these technologies can simultaneously help filter air pollutants, reduce energy demands, mitigate urban heat islands, and sequester carbon while also providing communities with aesthetic and natural resource benefits (USEPA, 2013).


## WHAT IS STORMWATER?

When rainfall hits the ground, it can soak into the ground or flow across the surface. When rainfall flows across a surface, it is called "stormwater" runoff. Pervious surfaces allow stormwater to readily soak into the soil and recharge groundwater. An impervious surface can be any material that has been placed over soil that prevents water from soaking into the ground. Impervious surfaces include paved roadways, parking lots, sidewalks, and rooftops. As impervious areas increase, so does the amount of stormwater runoff. New Jersey has many problems due to stormwater runoff from impervious surfaces, including:

- POLLUTION: According to the 2010 New Jersey Water Quality Assessment Report, $90 \%$ of the assessed waters in New Jersey are impaired. Urban-related stormwater runoff is listed as the most probable source of impairment (USEPA, 2013). As stormwater flows over the ground, it picks up pollutants, including animal waste, excess fertilizers, pesticides, and other toxic substances. These pollutants are carried to waterways.
- FLOODING: Over the past decade, the state has seen an increase in flooding. Communities around the state have been affected by these floods. The amount of damage caused has increased greatly with this trend, costing billions of dollars over this time span.
- EROSION: Increased stormwater runoff causes an increase in stream velocity. The increased velocity after storm events erodes stream banks and shorelines, degrading water quality. This erosion can damage local roads and bridges and cause harm to wildlife.


Stormwater catch basin


Purple cone flower


Pervious pavers

To protect and repair our waterways, reduce flooding, and stop erosion, stormwater runoff has to be better managed. Impervious surfaces need to be disconnected with green infrastructure to prevent stormwater runoff from flowing directly into New Jersey's waterways. Disconnection redirects runoff from paving and rooftops to pervious areas in the landscape.

## WHY ARE <br> IMPERVIOUS SURFACES |IMPORTANT?

The primary cause of the pollution, flooding, and erosion problems is the quantity of impervious surfaces draining directly to local waterways. New Jersey is one of the most developed states in the country. Currently, the state has the highest percent of impervious cover in the country at $12.1 \%$ of its total area (Nowak \& Greenfield, 2012). Many of these impervious surfaces are directly connected to local waterways (i.e., every drop of rain that lands on these impervious surfaces ends up in a local river, lake, or bay without any chance of being treated or soaking into the ground).

The literature suggests a link between impervious cover and stream ecosystem impairment starting at approximately 10\% impervious surface cover (Schueler, 1994; Arnold and Gibbons, 1996; May et al., 1997). Impervious cover may be linked to the quality of lakes, reservoirs, estuaries, and aquifers (Caraco et al., 1998), and the amount of impervious cover in a watershed can be used to project the current and future quality of streams. Based on the scientific literature, Caraco et al. (1998) classified urbanizing streams into the following three categories: sensitive streams, impacted streams, and non-supporting streams. Sensitive steams typically have a watershed impervious surface cover from 0-10\%. Impacted streams have a watershed impervious cover ranging from 11-25\% and typically show clear signs of degradation from urbanization. Non-supporting streams have a watershed impervious cover of greater than $25 \%$; at this high level of impervious cover, streams are simply conduits for stormwater flow and no longer support a diverse stream community.


## EVESHAM

Evesham is located in Burlington County. The municipality covers an area totaling about 29.6 square miles and has a population of 45,538 according to the 2010 US Census. Evesham shares its western border with the Camden County communities of Cherry Hill Township, Vorhees Township, and Berlin Township. To the north is the Burlington County community of Mount Olive Township, to the east is the Burlington County community of Washington Township, and the Camden County community of Waterford Township is to the south. In the event of a heavy storm, much of the runoff travels into nearby waterbodies untreated. By evaluating the feasibility of green infrastructure, Evesham can identify cost-effective ways to help mitigate water quality and local flooding issues.

## LAND USE IN EVESHAM

Evesham is dominated by urban land uses. A total of $42.4 \%$ of the municipality's land use is classified as urban. Of the urban land in Evesham, medium density residential is the dominant land use. Urban land uses tend to have a high percentage of impervious surfaces.

EVESHAM LAND USE
Agriculture 3.8\% Barren Land 0.4\%


## EVESHAM URBAN LAND USE

Transportation/ Infrastructure 6.9\%


Medium Density
Residential 34.4\%


## EVESHAM SUBWATERSHEDS



## IMPERVIOUS COVER ANALYSIS

The first step to reducing the impacts from impervious surfaces is to conduct an impervious cover assessment. This assessment can be completed on different scales: individual lot, municipality, or watershed. Impervious surfaces need to be identified for stormwater management.

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection's (NJDEP) 2012 land use/land cover geographical information system (GIS) data layer categorizes Evesham into many unique land use areas, assigning a percent impervious cover for each delineated area. These impervious cover values are used to estimate the impervious coverage for Evesham. Based upon the 2012 NJDEP land use/land cover data, approximately $15.5 \%$ of Evesham has impervious cover.

Water resources are typically managed on a watershed/ subwatershed basis; therefore an impervious cover analysis has been performed for each subwatershed within Lopatcong (Table 1). On a subwatershed basis, impervious cover ranges from $1.4 \%$ in the Alquatka Branch subwatershed to $45.0 \%$ in the Cooper River subwatershed. Evaluating impervious cover on a subwatershed basis allows the municipality to focus impervious cover reduction or disconnection efforts in the subwatersheds where frequent flooding occurs.


TABLE 1. IMPERVIOUS COVER ANALYSIS BY SUBWATERSHED FOR EVESHAM

| Subwatershed | Total <br> Area | Land Use <br> Area | Water Area | Impervious Cover |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (ac) | (ac) |  | (ac) | (\%) |
| Alquatka Branch | $1,026.8$ | $1,022.6$ | 4.2 | 14.3 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Barton Run | $5,669.5$ | $5,538.1$ | 131.4 | 515.6 | $9.3 \%$ |
| Cooper River | 415.0 | 409.7 | 5.3 | 184.5 | $45.0 \%$ |
| Kettle Run | $1,509.0$ | $1,433.0$ | 76.0 | 99.5 | $6.9 \%$ |
| Lake Pine | $2,857.2$ | $2,809.2$ | 48.0 | 180.9 | $6.4 \%$ |
| Mullica River | 383.2 | 370.2 | 13.0 | 16.8 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Pennsauken Creek | $2,951.5$ | $2,925.8$ | 25.7 | $1,025.8$ | $35.1 \%$ |
| Rancocas Creek | $4,116.9$ | $4,086.4$ | 30.5 | 846.9 | $20.7 \%$ |
| Total | $18,929.1$ | $18,595.1$ | 334.0 | $2,884.3$ | $15.5 \%$ |

TABLE 2. STORMWATER RUNOFF VOLUMES FROM IMPERVIOUS
SURFACES BY SUBWATERSHED IN EVESHAM

| Subwatershed | Total Runoff <br> Volume for <br> the 1.25" <br> NJ Water <br> Quality <br> Storm (Mgal) | Total Runoff <br> Volume <br> for the NJ <br> Annual <br> Rainfall of <br> 44" (Mgal) | Total Runoff <br> Volume for <br> the 2-year <br> Design <br> Storm (3.4") <br> (Mgal) | Total Runoff <br> Volume for <br> the 10-year <br> Design <br> Storm (5.2") <br> (Mgal) | Total Runoff <br> Volume <br> for the 100 <br> Year Design <br> Storm (8.8") <br> (Mgal) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alquatka <br> Branch | 0.5 | 17.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 3.2 |
| Barton Run | 17.5 | 616.0 | 49.0 | 72.8 | 116.2 |
| Cooper River | 6.3 | 220.4 | 17.5 | 26.1 | 41.6 |
| Kettle Run | 3.4 | 118.9 | 9.5 | 14.0 | 22.4 |
| Lake Pine | 6.1 | 216.1 | 17.2 | 25.5 | 40.8 |
| Mullica River | 0.6 | 20.1 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 3.8 |
| Pennsauken <br> Creek | 34.8 | $1,225.5$ | 97.5 | 144.8 | 231.2 |
| Rancocas | 28.7 | $1,011.8$ | 80.5 | 119.6 | 190.9 |
| Creek | 97.9 | $3,445.9$ | 274.1 | 407.2 | 650.0 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

In developed landscapes, stormwater runoff from parking lots, driveways, sidewalks, and rooftops flows to drainage pipes that feed the sewer system. The cumulative effect of these impervious surfaces and thousands of connected downspouts reduces the amount of water that can infiltrate into soils and greatly increases the volume and rate of runoff that flows to waterways.

Stormwater runoff volumes (specific to Evesham, Burlington County) associated with impervious surfaces have been calculated for the following storms: the New Jersey water quality design storm of 1.25 inches of rain, an annual rainfall of 44 inches, the 2-year design storm ( 3.4 inches of rain), the 10-year design storm (5.2 inches of rain), and the 100-year design storm ( 8.8 inches of rain). These runoff volumes are summarized in Table 2. A substantial amount of rainwater drains from impervious surfaces in Evesham. For example, if the stormwater runoff from one water quality storm ( 1.25 inches of rain) in the Barton Run subwatershed was harvested and purified, it could supply water to 160 homes for a year (assuming 300 gallons per day per home).

## WHAT CAN WE DO ABOUT IIMPERVIOUS SURFACES?

Once impervious surfaces have been identified, there are three steps to better manage these surfaces though green infrastructure practices.

Eliminate surfaces that are not necessary. One method to reduce impervious cover is to "depave." Depaving is the act of removing paved impervious surfaces and replacing them with pervious soil and vegetation that will allow for the infiltration of rainwater. Depaving leads to the recreation of natural areas that will help reduce flooding, increase wildlife habitat, and positively enhance water quality as well as beautify neighborhoods.


Reduce or convert impervious surfaces. There may be surfaces that are required to be hardened, such as roadways or parking lots, but could be made smaller and still be functional. A parking lot that has two-way cart ways could be converted to one-way cart ways. There also are permeable paving materials such as porous asphalt, pervious concrete, or permeable paving stones that could be substituted for impermeable paving materials.


Disconnect impervious surfaces from flowing directly to local waterways. There are many ways to capture, treat, and infiltrate stormwater runoff from impervious surfaces. Opportunities also exist to harvest rainwater for non-potable uses like watering gardens.





## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PRACTICES

## BIORETENTION SYSTEMS

A rain garden, or bioretention system, is a landscaped, shallow depression that captures, filters, and infiltrates stormwater runoff. The rain garden removes nonpoint source pollutants from stormwater runoff while recharging groundwater. A rain garden serves as a functional system to capture, filter, and infiltrate stormwater runoff at the source while being aesthetically pleasing. Rain gardens are an important tool for communities and neighborhoods to create diverse, attractive landscapes while protecting the health of the natural environment. Rain gardens can also be installed in areas that do not infiltrate by incorporating an underdrain system.

Rain gardens can be implemented throughout communities to begin the process of re-establishing the natural function of the land. Rain gardens offer one of the quickest and easiest methods to reduce runoff and help protect our water resources. Beyond the aesthetic and ecological benefits, rain gardens encourage environmental stewardship and community pride.



## BIOSWALES

Bioswales are landscape features that convey stormwater from one location to another while removing pollutants and allowing water to infiltrate. Bioswales are often designed for larger scale sites where water needs time to move and slowly infiltrate into the groundwater.

Much like the rain garden systems, bioswales can also be designed with an underdrain pipe that allows excess water to discharge to the nearest catch basin or existing stormwater system.



## RAINWATER HARVESTING SYSTEMS

These systems capture rainwater, mainly from rooftops, in cisterns or rain barrels. The water can then be used for watering gardens, washing vehicles, or for other non-potable uses.

Rainwater harvesting systems come in all shapes and sizes. These systems are good for harvesting rainwater in the spring, summer, and fall but must be winterized during the colder months. Cisterns are winterized, and then their water source is redirected from the cistern back to the original discharge area.



## PERMEABLE PAVEMENTS

These surfaces include pervious concrete, porous asphalt, interlocking concrete pavers, and grid pavers. Pervious concrete and porous asphalt are the most common of the permeable surfaces. They are similar to regular concrete and asphalt but without the fine materials. This allows water to quickly pass through the material into an underlying layered system of stone that holds the water, allowing it to infiltrate into the underlying uncompacted soil. They have an underlying stone layer to store stormwater runoff and allow it to slowly seep into the ground.

By installing an underdrain system, these systems can be used in areas where infiltration is limited. The permeable pavement system will still filter pollutants and provide storage but will not infiltrate the runoff.


## DRAINAGE AREA

The drainage area of the porous asphalt system is the conventional asphalt cartway and the porous asphalt in the parking spaces. Runoff from the conventional asphalt flows into the porous asphalt parking spaces.

POROUS ASPHALT
It is common to design !porous asphalt in the parking stalls of a parking !lot. This saves money and reduces wear.

## UNDERDRAIN

Systems with low infiltration rates due to soil composition are often designed with an underdrain system to discharge the water.

> ASPHALT
> This system is often designed with conventional asphalt in areas of high traffic to prevent any damage to the system.

## DOWNSPOUT PLANTER BOXES

Downspout planter boxes are wooden or concrete boxes with plants installed at the base of the downspout that provide an opportunity to beneficially reuse rooftop runoff. Although small, these systems have some capacity to store rooftop runoff during rainfall events and release it slowly back into the storm sewer system through an overflow.

Most often, downspout planter boxes are a reliable green infrastructure practice used to provide some rainfall storage and aesthetic value for property.


PLANTER BOXES
:The downspout planter box can be wooden or ©concrete. However, all !boxes must be reinforced to hold soil, stone, and
the quantity of rainfall it is designed to store.

## DOWNSPOUT

The downspout is the main source of water for the downspout planter.

## SUBGRADE

 planter box.NATIVE PLAANTS A downspout planter is planted with a variety of grasses, wildflowers, and woody plants that are adapted to the soil, preCipitation, climate, and oother site conditions.

The system is designed to overflow using a perforated pipe located at the bottom of the downspout
 -

## STORMWATER PLANTERS

Stormwater planters are vegetated structures that are built into the sidewalk to intercept stormwater runoff from the roadway or sidewalk. Stormwater planters, like rain gardens, are a type of bioretention system. This means many of these planters are designed to allow the water to infiltrate into the ground. However, some are designed simply to filter the water and convey it back into the storm sewer system via an underdrain system.


## NATIVE PLANTS

A stormwater planter is planted with a variety of grasses, wildflowers, and woody plants that are adapted to the soil, precipitation, climate, and other site conditions.

CURB CUT
This curb cut and concrete flow pad are designed to help redirect stormwater runoff to the rain garden system and lout of the storm drain.


## TREE FILTER BOXES

Tree filter boxes can be pre-manufactured concrete boxes or enhanced tree pits that contain a special soil mix and are planted with a tree or shrub. They filter stormwater runoff but provide little storage capacity. They are typically designed to quickly filter stormwater and then discharge it to the local storm sewer system.

Often tree filter boxes are incorporated into streetscape systems that include an underlying stormwater system which connects several boxes (as shown on the next page). This is also coupled with pervious concrete to increase the storage capacity for rainwater into the system.


## PERVIOUS CONCRETE

Pervious concrete is installed
to act as an additional storage system to increase the stormwater capacity treated



## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE IN EVESHAM

## SITE SELECTION \& METHODOLOGY

TABLE 1. AERIAL LOADING COEFFICIENTS

| Land Cover | Total <br> Phosphorus <br> (lbs/acre/yr) | Total Nitrogen <br> (lbs/acre/yr) | Total <br> Suspended <br> Solids <br> (lbs/acre/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High, Medium <br> Density <br> Residential | 1.4 | 15 | 140 |
| Low Density, <br> Rural <br> Residential | 0.6 | 5 | 100 |
| Commercial | 2.1 | 22 | 200 |
| Industrial | 1.5 | 16 | 200 |
| Urban, Mixed <br> Urban, Other <br> Urban | 1.0 | 10 | 120 |
| Agriculture | 1.3 | 10 | 300 |
| Forest, Water, <br> Wetlands | 0.1 | 3 | 40 |
| Barrenland/ <br> Transitional <br> Area | 0.5 | 5 | 60 |



A collection of sites have been identified in Evesham based on site visibility, feasibility, cost-effectiveness, and potential partnerships. The RCE Water Resources Program uses a "look here first" method to identify the most accessible and visible sites. These sites include: schools, churches, libraries, municipal buildings, public works, firehouses, post offices, social clubs such as the Elks or Moose lodge, and parks/recreational fields. These sites often have large amounts of impervious cover and typically are relatively easy to engage in implementing green infrastructure practices. Sites are selected based on their feasibility or the ability to get the project in the ground. This criteria is based on property ownership and ability to do maintenance. In addition, potential partnerships related to the site help make a project feasible.

Initially, aerial imagery was used to identify potential project sites that contain extensive impervious cover. Field visits were then conducted at each of these potential project sites to determine if a viable option exists to reduce impervious cover or to disconnect impervious surfaces from draining directly to the local waterway or storm sewer system. During the site visit, appropriate green infrastructure practices for the site were determined.

For each potential project site, specific aerial loading coefficients for commercial land use were used to determine the annual runoff loads for total phosphorus (TP), total nitrogen (TN), and total suspended solids (TSS) from impervious surfaces (Table 1). These are the same aerial loading coefficients that NJDEP uses to develop total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) for impaired waterways of the state. The percentage of impervious cover for each site was extracted from the 2012 NJDEP land use/land cover database.

For impervious areas, runoff volumes were determined for the water quality design storm (1.25 inches of rain over twohours) and for the annual rainfall total of 44 inches.

Preliminary soil assessments were conducted for each potential project site identified in Evesham using the United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey, which utilizes regional and statewide soil data to predict soil types in an area.

For each potential project site, drainage areas were determined for each of the green infrastructure practices proposed at the site. These green infrastructure practices were designed to manage the 2 -year design storm, enabling these practices to capture $95 \%$ of the annual rainfall. Runoff volumes were calculated for each proposed green infrastructure practice. The reduction in TSS loading was calculated for each drainage area for each proposed green infrastructure practice using the aerial loading coefficients in Table 1. The maximum volume reduction in stormwater runoff for each green infrastructure practice for a storm was determined by calculating the volume of runoff captured from the 2-year design storm. For each green infrastructure practice, peak discharge reduction potential was determined through hydrologic modeling in HydroCAD. For each green infrastructure practice, a cost estimate is provided. These costs are based upon the square footage of the green infrastructure practice and the real cost of green infrastructure practice implementation in New Jersey.



POTENTIAL PROJECT SITES
WITHIN STUDY AREA

| Site | Name | Address | Page \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Barton Run Swim Club * | 100 Lakeside Drive, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 40 |
| 2 | Cherokee High School | 120 Tomlinson Mill Road, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 44 |
| 3 | Evesham Fire/Rescue 223/227 | 150 Merchants Way, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 46 |
| 4 | Evesham Township Municipal Court | 984 Tuckerton Road, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 48 |
| 5 | King's Grant Community Room | 50 Landings Drive, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 50 |
| 6 | Marlton Elementary School * | 190 Tomlinson Mill Road, Evesham, NJ 08053 | 52 |
| 7 | Memorial Park | 1004 Tuckerton Road, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 56 |
| 8 | Richard L. Rice Elementary School | 50 Crown Royal Parkway, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 58 |
| 9 | Villa Royal Association | 5 Crown Royal Parkway, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 60 |
| 10 | Kettle Run Fire/Rescue 225/228 * Links Golf Course | 498 Hopewell Road, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 62 |
| 11 | Evesham Fire/Rescue 221/229 | 100 Majestic Way, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 66 |
| 12 | Christ Presbyterian Church | 26 East Main Street, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 68 |
| 13 | 515 East Main Street, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 70 |  |
| 14 | Frances S. DeMasi Elementary School | 199 Evesboro Medford Road, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 72 |
| 15 | Marlton Assembly of God | 625 East Main Street, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 74 |
| 16 | Marlton Post Office | 123 East Main Street, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 76 |
| 17 | Robert B. Jaggard Elementary School | 2 Wescott Road, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 78 |
| 18 | St. Joan of Arc Parish and School | 100 Willow Bend Road, Marlton, NJ 08053 | 80 |

* Contains a concept design



bioretention system
$\square$ pervious pavement
ㄷ] drainage area
[.] property line
$\square 2015$ Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS



Stormwater is currently directed to an existing catch basin. Installing rain gardens in the parking lot islands can capture, treat, and infiltrate stormwater runoff from the parking lot. Replacing parking spaces with porous pavement can capture and infiltrate runoff from the other side of the parking lot. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that more soil testing would be required before determining the soil's suitability for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (lbs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 30 | 51,770 | 2.5 | 26.1 | 237.7 | 0.040 | 1.42 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bioretention systems | 0.288 | 48 | 21,834 | 0.82 | 2,765 | $\$ 13,825$ |
| Pervious pavement | 0.352 | 59 | 26,651 | 1.00 | 2,410 | $\$ 60,250$ |

## CURRENT CONDITION



## CONCEPT DESIGN





Stormwater is currently directed to existing catch basins. Parking spots on the north side of the parking lot can be replaced with porous asphalt to capture and infiltrate stormwater. A rain garden adjacent to the school sign can capture, treat, and infiltrate additional stormwater runoff from the parking lot. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that the soils have suitable drainage characteristics for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (lbs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 26 | $1,064,222$ | 51.3 | 537.5 | $4,886.2$ | 0.829 | 29.19 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bioretention system | 0.183 | 31 | 13,973 | 0.52 | 1,755 | $\$ 8,775$ |
| Pervious pavement | 1.773 | 297 | 134,311 | 5.04 | 12,150 | $\$ 303,750$ |


$\square$ rainwater harvesting
ㄷ.] drainage area
[.] property line
$\square 2015$ Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS


Stormwater is currently directed to an existing detention basin. A cistern can be placed adjacent to the southwest corner of the main building, and the water can be used to clean vehicles. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that more soil testing would be required before determining the soil's suitability for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (lbs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 36 | 65,165 | 3.1 | 32.9 | 299.2 | 0.051 | 1.79 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rainwater harvesting | 0.035 | 6 | 2,633 | 0.10 | 1,040 (gal) | $\$ 2,080$ |


pervious pavement
ㄷ. drainage area
[.] property line
$\square 2015$ Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS


Stormwater currently collects on the east side of the parking lot. Parking spots on that side of the lot can be replaced with porous asphalt to capture and infiltrate stormwater runoff from the parking lot. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that the soils have suitable drainage characteristics for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (bs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 23 | 192,702 | 9.3 | 97.3 | 884.8 | 0.150 | 5.29 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pervious pavement | 0.662 | 111 | 50,191 | 1.88 | 4,540 | $\$ 113,500$ |


$\square$
pervious pavement
「.] drainage area
[.] property line
$\square 2015$ Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS


Stormwater is currently directed to existing catch basins. Parking spots adjacent to and across from the main building can be replaced with porous asphalt to capture and infiltrate stormwater. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that more soil testing would be required before determining the soil's suitability for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (lbs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 37 | 117,923 | 5.7 | 59.6 | 541.4 | 0.092 | 3.23 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pervious pavement | 0.260 | 44 | 19,702 | 0.74 | 1,780 | $\$ 44,500$ |


(a) bioretention system
$\square$ pervious pavement
ㄷ.] drainage area
[.] property line
$\square 2015$ Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS


Stormwater is currently directed to existing catch basins. Parking spots by the north and west buildings can be replaced with porous asphalt to capture and infiltrate stormwater runoff from the parking lot. Rain gardens adjacent to the building can capture, treat, and infiltrate roof runoff before it reaches the existing catch basin. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that the soils have suitable drainage characteristics for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (lbs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 26 | 526,875 | 25.4 | 266.1 | $2,419.1$ | 0.411 | 14.45 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bioretention systems | 0.516 | 86 | 39,068 | 1.47 | 4,950 | $\$ 24,750$ |
| Pervious pavement | 0.651 | 109 | 49,331 | 1.85 | 4,465 | $\$ 111,625$ |

## CURRENT CONDITION



## CONCEPT DESIGN




( bioretention system
$\square$ rainwater harvesting
[〕] drainage area
[.] property line
$\square 2015$ Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS
$\qquad$


A cistern can be placed adjacent to the south building to capture roof runoff, which can then be used to water an existing community garden south of the building. A rain garden adjacent to the building can capture, treat, and infiltrate additional roof runoff. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that the soils have suitable drainage characteristics for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (lbs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 16 | 439,434 | 21.2 | 221.9 | $2,017.6$ | 0.342 | 12.05 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bioretention system | 0.380 | 64 | 39,068 | 1.47 | 2,780 | $\$ 13,900$ |
| Rainwater harvesting | 0.105 | 18 | 25,582 | 0.96 | 3,000 (gal) | $\$ 6,000$ |



RICHARD L. RICE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL


- bioretention system
$\square$ pervious pavement
[〕] drainage area
[.] property line
$\square 2015$ Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS


Stormwater is currently directed to existing catch basins. The basketball court and parking spots on the west side of the parking lot can be replaced with porous asphalt to capture and infiltrate stormwater. Rain gardens adjacent to the building can capture, treat, and infiltrate roof runoff. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that the soils have suitable drainage characteristics for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (lbs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 19 | 185,719 | 9.0 | 93.8 | 852.7 | 0.145 | 5.09 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bioretention systems | 0.346 | 58 | 26,195 | 0.98 | 3,320 | $\$ 16,600$ |
| Pervious pavement | 0.256 | 43 | 19,366 | 0.73 | 3,990 | $\$ 99,750$ |



] bioretention system
$\square$ pervious pavement
ㄷ.] drainage area
[.] property line
$\square 2015$ Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS


Stormwater currently stands in a low ditch in a parking lot island. Parking spots to the north of the island can be replaced with porous asphalt to capture and infiltrate stormwater. Installing a rain garden in the island can capture, treat, and infiltrate the stormwater runoff, eliminating the standing water. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that the soils have suitable drainage characteristics for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (lbs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 55 | 856,021 | 41.3 | 432.3 | $3,930.3$ | 0.667 | 23.48 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bioretention system | 0.259 | 43 | 19,590 | 0.74 | 2,480 | $\$ 12,400$ |
| Pervious pavement | 0.189 | 32 | 14,332 | 0.45 | 1,300 | $\$ 32,500$ |



bioretention system
$\square$ rainwater harvesting
ㄷ.] drainage area
[.] property line
$\square 2015$ Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS


Stormwater is currently directed to an existing detention basin. Cisterns adjacent to the building can harvest roof runoff to be used for washing department vehicles. Installing a rain garden on the east side of the building can capture, treat, and infiltrate additional roof runoff. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that the soils have suitable drainage characteristics for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (lbs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 45 | 42,532 | 2.1 | 21.5 | 195.3 | 0.033 | 1.17 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bioretention system | 0.071 | 12 | 5,348 | 0.20 | 680 | $\$ 3,400$ |
| Rainwater harvesting | 0.094 | 16 | 7,099 | 0.27 | 2,800 (gal) | $\$ 5,600$ |

## CURRENT CONDITION



## CONCEPT DESIGN




pervious pavement
ㄷ.] drainage area
[〕] property line
$\square 2015$ Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS


Stormwater currently drains from impervious surfaces. Parking spaces can be replaced with porous asphalt to capture and infiltrate stormwater. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that more soil testing would be required before determining the soil's suitability for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (lbs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 2 | 142,527 | 6.9 | 72.0 | 654.4 | 0.111 | 3.91 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pervious pavement | 0.236 | 40 | 17,907 | 0.67 | 1,620 | $\$ 40,500$ |



1 rainwater harvesting
[] drainage area
[.] property line
2015 Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS


Stormwater is currently directed to connected downspouts. Rainwater can be harvested by installing a cistern adjacent to the building for cleaning department vehicles. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that the soils have suitable drainage characteristics for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (lbs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 84 | 60,542 | 2.9 | 30.6 | 278.0 | 0.047 | 1.66 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rainwater harvesting | 0.029 | 5 | 2,192 | 0.08 | 865 (gal) | $\$ 1,730$ |


$\square$ bioretention system
[.] drainage area
[〕] property line
$\square 2015$ Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS


Stormwater currently drains towards the road. Rain gardens adjacent to the building, near the road, and in the northwest corner of the property can capture, treat, and infiltrate stormwater and roof runoff. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that the soils have suitable drainage characteristics for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (lbs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 19 | 26,385 | 1.3 | 13.3 | 121.1 | 0.021 | 0.72 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bioretention systems | 0.112 | 19 | 8,467 | 0.32 | 2,090 | $\$ 10,450$ |


$\square$ bioretention system
$\square$ pervious pavement

- rainwater harvesting

ㄷ.] drainage area
[.] property line
$\square 2015$ Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS


Stormwater is currently directed to existing catch basins. Parking spots in the parking lot south of the school can be replaced with porous asphalt to capture and infiltrate stormwater. Rain gardens adjacent to the building and parking lot can capture, treat, and infiltrate runoff. Rain water can be harvested in one of the school's courtyards to be used to water an existing garden. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that more soil testing would be required before determining the soil's suitability for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (lbs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 41 | 422,244 | 20.4 | 213.3 | $1,938.7$ | 0.329 | 11.58 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bioretention systems | 0.581 | 97 | 18,595 | 0.70 | 5,575 | $\$ 27,875$ |
| Pervious pavement | 0.260 | 44 | 17,683 | 0.74 | 1,780 | $\$ 44,500$ |
| Rainwater harvesting | 0.002 | 0 | 8,497 | 0.32 | $50-80$ (gal) | $\$ 250-\$ 310$ |


$\square$ bioretention system
$\square$ pervious pavement
[.] drainage area
[.] property line
$\square 2015$ Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS


Stormwater is currently directed to existing detention basins as well as existing catch basins. Parking spots can be replaced with porous asphalt to capture and infiltrate stormwater. A rain garden adjacent to the west building can capture, treat, and infiltrate roof runoff. The sidewalk near the west entrance of the west building can be replaced with porous pavement to capture and infiltrate stormwater. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that the soils have suitable drainage characteristics for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover (lbs/yr) |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 41 | 204,017 | 9.8 | 103.0 | 936.7 | 0.159 | 5.60 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bioretention system | 0.014 | 2 | 1,040 | 0.04 | 130 | $\$ 650$ |
| Pervious pavement | 0.556 | 93 | 51,792 | 1.94 | 4,030 | $\$ 100,750$ |


pervious pavement
r] drainage area
[.] property line
2015 Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS


Stormwater is currently directed to existing catch basins. Parking spaces on the southeast side of the parking lot can be replaced with porous asphalt to infiltrate stormwater. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that more soil testing would be required before determining the soil's suitability for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (lbs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 85 | 71,114 | 3.4 | 35.9 | 326.5 | 0.055 | 1.95 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pervious pavement | 0.236 | 40 | 17,907 | 0.67 | 1,620 | $\$ 40,500$ |


$\square$ bioretention system
$\square$ pervious pavement
ㄷ] drainage area
[.] property line
$\square 2015$ Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS


Stormwater is currently directed to an existing catch basin. Parking spots on the north side of the parking lot, as well as the basketball court, can be replaced with porous asphalt to capture and infiltrate stormwater. Rain gardens adjacent to the building can capture, treat, and infiltrate roof runoff. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that the soils have suitable drainage characteristics for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (lbs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 61 | 273,419 | 13.2 | 138.1 | $1,255.4$ | 0.213 | 7.50 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bioretention systems | 0.175 | 29 | 13,225 | 0.50 | 1,675 | $\$ 8,375$ |
| Pervious pavement | 0.701 | 117 | 53,123 | 1.99 | 6,575 | $\$ 164,375$ |


( bioretention system
$\square$ pervious pavement
ㄷ. drainage area
[.] property line
$\square 2015$ Aerial: NJOIT, OGIS


Stormwater is currently directed to an existing detention basin. Parking spots can be replaced with porous asphalt to capture and infiltrate stormwater. Installing rain gardens adjacent to the north and southeast building can capture, treat, and infiltrate roof runoff. Stone pavers on the north side of the southeast building can be replaced with porous pavement to capture and infiltrate roof runoff. A preliminary soil assessment suggests that the soils have suitable drainage characteristics for green infrastructure.

| Impervious Cover (lbs/yr) |  | Existing Loads from Impervious <br> Cover |  |  | Runoff Volume from Impervious Cover (Mgal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | sq. ft. | TP | TN | TSS | From the 1.25" Water Quality <br> Storm | For an Annual <br> Rainfall of 44" |
| 53 | 263,046 | 12.7 | 132.9 | $1,207.7$ | 0.205 | 7.21 |


| Recommended <br> Infrastructure <br> Practices | Recharge <br> Potential <br> (Mgal/yr) | TSS <br> Removal <br> Potential <br> (lbs/yr) | Maximum Volume <br> Reduction Potential <br> (gal/storm) | Peak Discharge <br> Reduction Potential <br> (cu. ft./second) | Estimated <br> Size (sq. ft.) | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bioretention systems | 0.047 | 8 | 3,583 | 0.13 | 455 | $\$ 2,275$ |
| Pervious pavement | 0.933 | 156 | 70,686 | 2.56 | 6,670 | $\$ 166,750$ |



## APPENDIX A: COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT \& EDUCATION

## BUILD A RAIN BARREL WORKSHOP



With the Build a Rain Barre/ workshop, community members participate in a short presentation on stormwater management and water conservation and then learn how to build their own rain barrel. Workshop participants work with trained experts to convert 55 gallon plastic food-grade drums into rain barrels. They are able to take an active role in recycling rainwater by installing a rain barrel at their house! Harvesting rainwater has many benefits including saving water, saving money, and preventing basement flooding. By collecting rainwater, homeowners are helping to reduce flooding and pollution in local waterways. When rainwater flows across hard surfaces like rooftops, driveways, roadways, parking lots, and compacted lawns, it carries pollution to our local waterways. Harvesting the rainwater in a rain barrel is just one of the ways homeowners can reduce the amount of rainwater draining from their property and help reduce neighborhood flooding problems.

## STORMWATER MANAGEMENT IN YOUR SCHOOLYARD



The Stormwater Management in Your Schoolyard program provides educational lectures, hands-on activities, and community-level outreach for students on the topics of water quality issues and stormwater management practices such as rain gardens and rain barrels. Program objectives include the exploration of various aspects of the natural environment on school grounds, the detailed documentation of findings related to these explorations, and the communication of these findings to the school community. As part of this program, several New Jersey State Core Curriculum Content Standards for science (5.1, 5.3, and 5.4), 21st-century life and careers (9.1, 9.3, and 9.4), and social studies (6.3) are addressed. Every school is unique in its need for stormwater management, so each school's Stormwater Management in Your Schoolyard program can be delivered in a variety of ways. This program can be tailored for grades K-8 or 9-12 and can be offered to meet a variety of schedules.


## APPENDIX B: MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

## MAINTAINING EVESHAM'S GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE SYSTEMS

Rain Garden
Stormwater Planter
Tree Filter Box

Rain Barrel
Cistern

Porous Asphalt Underground Detention

## RAIN GARDEN / STORMWATER PLANTER / TREE

 FILTER BOX
## Weekly

- Water
- Weed
- Inspect for invasive plants, plant health, excessive sediment, and movement of sediment within the rain garden
- Observe the rain garden during rain events and note any successes (Example of success: stormwater runoff picks up oil and grease from the parking lot, flows through a curb cut, and into a rain garden; the rain garden traps the nonpoint source pollutants before they reach the nearby waterway)

Annually

- Mulch in the spring to retain a 3-inch mulch layer in the garden
- Prune during dormant season to improve plant health
- Remove sediment
- Plant
- Test the soil (every 3 years)
- Harvest plants to use in other parts of the landscape
- Clean debris from gutters connected to rain garden
- Replace materials (such as river rock and landscape fabric) where needed




RAIN BARREL

- Keep screen on top and a garden hose attached to the overflow to prevent mosquitoes; change screen every two years
- Remove debris from screen after storms
- Disconnect the barrel in winter; store inside or outside with a cover
- Clean out with long brush and water/dilute bleach solution ( $\sim 3 \%$ )



## CISTERN

- In the fall prepare your cistern for the winter by diverting flow so no water can enter and freeze within the barrel
- Weekly check: Check for leaks, clogs and other obstructions, holes and vent openings where animals, insects, and rodents may enter; repair leaks with sealant; drain the first flush diverter/ roof washer after every rainfall event
- Monthly check: Check roof and roof catchments to make sure no debris is entering the gutter and downspout directed into the cistern; keep the roof, gutters, and leader inlets clear of leaves; inspect the first flush filter and all of its attachments and make any necessary replacements; inspect cistern cover, screen, overflow pipe, sediment trap and other accessories and make any necessary replacements


## POROUS ASPHALT

- Materials cost is $\sim 20-25 \%$ more than traditional asphalt
- Long-term maintenance is required by routine quarterly vacuum sweeping
- Sweeping cost may be off-set by reduced deicing costs
- Asphalt repairs can be made with standard asphalt not to exceed $10 \%$ of surface area
- Concrete repairs can be made with standard concrete not to exceed $10 \%$ of the surface area


## UNDERGROUND DETENTION

- Periodic inspections of the inlet and outlet areas to ensure correct operation of system
- Clean materials trapped on grates protecting catch basins and inlet area monthly
- Primary maintenance concerns are removal of floatables that become trapped and removal of accumulating sediments within the system; this should be done at least on an annual basis
- Proprietary traps and filters associated with stormwater storage units should be maintained as recommended by the manufacturer
- Any structural repairs required to inlet and outlet areas should be addressed in a timely manner on an as needed basis
- Local authorities may require annual inspection or require



